

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UMTED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Onited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

9.0 Box 1450
Alexandria Virgina 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/608,301	06/27/2003	Nishit Kumar	3551P053	8950	
	7590 07/10/2007 KOLOFF TAYLOR & ZA	EXAMINER			
1279 OAKME	AD PARKWAY	REVAK, CHR	REVAK, CHRISTOPHER A		
SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2131			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			. 07/10/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
•		10/608,301		KUMAR ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Christopher	A. Revak	2131				
	e MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the c	over sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	dress			
Period for Re	· ·	/ IO OFT TO	EVELE A MONTHY	0) OD TUUDTY (0)	0) DAYC			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status	:							
1)⊠ Res	ponsive to communication(s) filed on 4/12/	<u>′07</u> .						
	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
clos	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition o	f Claims							
4)⊠ Clai	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are pending in the application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)∐ Clai) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
•	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are rejected.							
•	m(s) is/are objected to.	1 ()						
8)∐ Clai	m(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election rec	quirement.					
Application F	Papers							
9) <u></u> The	specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	·					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 6/27/03 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
11)∐ The	oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Not	a the attached Office	Action of form P1	O-152.			
Priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	nowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2.	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3.□	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)								
· ==	References Cited (PTO-892)	•	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
· ==	Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) In Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	!	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/608,301

Art Unit: 2131

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-39 have been considered but are most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claims contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The examiner cannot find support in the applicant's specification for the limitations "create an aggregate transport stream in a single format from the plurality of transport streams" as is recited for claim 1, the remaining claims recite of similar limitations. According to the applicant's specification on page 12, paragraph 44, lines 4-7, it recites that four streams with different standards and protocols are reduced to an aggregate stream, but it doesn't recite how it is created into a single format.

Application/Control Number: 10/608,301

Art Unit: 2131

, 1

4. Claims 1-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claims contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. It is unclear how the process of "creating an aggregate transport stream in a single format from the plurality of transport streams" is preformed. It is described in the applicant's specification on page 12, paragraph 44, lines 4-7, that four streams with different standards and protocols are reduced to an aggregate stream, but it doesn't describe how the process of an aggregated stream comprising a single format is created from the different formats.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2131

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher A. Revak whose telephone number is 571-272-3794. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 6:30am-3:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

July 5, 2007

CHRISTOPHER REVAK PRIMARY EXAMINER